

BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT COMMITTEE ON PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT PANEL B

IN RE

CHARLES DWAIN OLIVER ARKANSAS BAR ID #2001009 CPC Docket No. 2018-609

FINDINGS AND ORDER

The formal charges of misconduct upon which this Findings and Order is based arese from Charles Dwam Oliver's representation of Mr. Steven Wade Harreison in a criminal record expungement matter. Mr. Oliver is an Arkansas licensed attorney practicing primarily in Hampton, Arkansas.

- On October 31, 2014, Harrelson bired Oliver to get Harrolson's prior crimmal record expunged.
 - 2. Harrelson paid Ohver \$800.00 for the representation.
- 3. After paying the attorney fee, Harrelson made several attempts at contacting Oliver. When Harrelson was able to get in touch with Oliver, Oliver would make excuses as to why he had not filed the expungement petition. Eventually Oliver stopped responding to Harrelson's attempts to contact him.
 - 4. Oliver did not take any action or file any pleadings on behalf of Harrelson.
 - 5. Ofiver did not provide Harrelson with a refund of the \$800,00 paid to him.
- Harrelson filed a grievance with the Office of Professional Conduct (OPC) in late
 2017.
- 7. OPC has made several unsuccessful attempts by written communication and telephone calls to Oliver to get Oliver to respond in writing to the allegations made against him in Harrelson's grievance. No written response has ever been received from Oliver to the OPC requests

1

Upon consideration of the formal complaint and attached exhibit materials and the Arkansas Rules of Professional Conduct, Panel B of the Arkansas Supreme Court Committee on Professional Conduct finds:

- 1. That Mr. Ohver's conduct violated Rule 1.1 when he failed to take any action or file the appropriate pleadings on behalf of his client, Steven Wade Harrelson. Arkansas Rule 1.1 states that a lawyer shall provide competent representation to a client. Competent representation requires the legal knowledge, skill, thoroughness, and preparation reasonably necessary for the representation.
- 2. That Mr. Oliver's conduct violated Rule 1.3 when he failed to timely take any action or filled the appropriate pleadings on behalf of his chent in the years since he was hired in October 2014. Arkaneas Rule 1.3 states that a lawyer shall act with reasonable diligence and promptness in representing a client.
- 3. That Mr. Oliver's conduct violated Rule 1,4(a)(3) when he failed to communicate with his client Mr. Harrelson regarding the status of his legal matter. Arkansas Rule 1.4(a)(3) states that a lawyer shall keep the client reasonably informed about the status of the matter.
- 4. That Mr. Oliver's conduct violated Rule 1.4(a)(4) when he failed to respond to his client Mr. Harrelson's several attempts at contacting him regarding the status of his legal matter. Arkansas Rule 1.4(a)(4) states that a lawyer shall promptly comply with reasonable requests for information.
- 5. That Mr. Oliver's conduct violated Rule 8.1 when he failed to respond in writing to the Office of Professional Conduct's many attempts at getting him to respond to Mr. Harrelson's grievance against him. Arkansas Rule 8.1 states that a lawyer in connection with a disciplinary

matter, shall not knowingly fail to respond to a lawful demand for information from a disciplinary authority.

- 6. That Mr. Oliver's conduct violated Rule 8.4(c) when he took a fee of \$800.00 from his client Mr. Harrelson in October 2014, and failed to take any action or file any pleadings on his cliem's behalf. Arkansas Rule 8.4(c) states that it is professional misconduct for a lawyer to engage in conduct involving dishonesty, froud, descrit, or misrepresentation.
- 7. That Mr. Oliver was served with the Found Complaint and Summons in this matter on February 5, 2018. He failed to file a response and pursuant to §9.C(1) of the Procedures of the Arkansas Supreme Court Regulating Professional Conduct of Attorneys at Law, all allegations as are deemed admitted, and Mr. Oliver has waived any right to a panel hearing in this matter.

WHEREFORE, it is the decision and order of the Arkansas Supreme Court Committee on Professional Conduct, acting through its authorized Panel B, that CHARLES DWAIN OLIVER, Arkansas Bar ID #2001009, be, and hereby is, SUSPENDED FOR A PERIOD OF SIXTY (60) MONTHS for his conduct in this matter. In assessing this sanction, Mt. Oliver's prior disciplinary record was a factor. Charles Dwain Oliver shall pay restitution in the amount of FIGHT HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$800.00) in accordance with Section 18.C of the Procedures. Mr. Oliver shall also pay costs in the amount of FIFTY DOLLARS (\$50.00) in accordance with Section 18.A of the Procedures. In addition, §9.C(1) of the Procedures provide that the failure to provide a written response to a formal complaint may result in the separate imposition of a sanction less than a suspension of license. The Panel imposes a separate sanction of REPRIMAND for Oliver's failure to respond to the formal complaint. The restitution and costs assessed herein totaling EIGHT HUNDRED FIFTY (\$850.00) DOLLARS shall be payable by cashier's check or money order payable to the "Clerk, Arkansas Supreme Court" delivered to the

Office of Professional Conduct within thirty (30) days of the date this Pindings and Order is filed of record with the Clerk of the Arkansas Supreme Court.

ARKAN	SAS SUPREME COURT COMMITTEE
ON PRO	DFESSIONAL CONDUCT - PANEL B
	The state of the s
Michael	E. Multally, Chair, Panel B
Date:	A second